| 1 2 | Christopher M. Humes Esq., Nevada Bar No. 12 William D. Nobriga, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 149 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK | 31 |
|-----|---|--|
| 3 | 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 | , EEI |
| 4 | Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614 Telephone: 702.382.2101 | |
| 5 | Facsimile: 702.382.8135 Email: chumes@bhfs.com | |
| 6 | Email: wnobriga@bhfs.com | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES | DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | DISTRICT | OF NEVADA |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND | CASE NO.: 2:22-cv-00565-JCM-NJK |
| 12 | LABORERS HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF | |
| 13 | THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND LABORERS JOINT PENSION TRUST; THE | MOTION TO SEEK LEAVE TO PROVIDE REVISED CALCULATION OF DAMAGES |
| 14 | BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND | |
| 15 | LABORERS VACATION TRUST; and THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE | AND |
| 16 | SOUTHERN NEVADA LABORERS LOCAL 872 TRAINING TRUST, | REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT |
| 17 | Plaintiffs, | |
| 18 | v. | |
| 19 | SENTINEL MAINTENANCE OF LAS | |
| 20 | VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, SMI, LLC, a Nevada limited | |
| 21 | liability company | |
| 22 | Defendants. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | Plaintiffs, Board of Trustees of the | Construction Industry and Laborers Health at |
| 25 | Welfare Trust, the Construction Industry and | Laborers Joint Pension Trust, the Construction |
| 26 | Industry and Laborers Vacation Trust, and th | e Southern Nevada Laborers Local 872 Trainin |

nd on Industry and Laborers Vacation Trust, and the Southern Nevada Laborers Local 872 Training Trust (collectively, the "Trust Funds") file this motion to seek leave to provide a revised damages calculation representing amounts owed by Sentinel Maintenance of Las Vegas, LLC and SMI,

27

LLC, jointly and severally, to the Trust Funds and request that the Court enter a proposed order for judgment. This filing is based on the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), the Court's recent ruling on the Parties' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 69), the pleadings on file in this case, and the points and authorities below.

I. Argument.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On March 27, 2024, this Court issued an order granting the Trust Funds' Motion for Summary Judgment and denying the Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. See ECF No. 69 (the "Order"). In the Order, the Court permitted the Trust Funds to seek leave to provide an updated calculation of damages and ordered that the Trust Funds provide a proposed judgment. See id. at 19-21. The Trust Funds submit both below and also demonstrate that the post-judgment interest rate should be set at the rate included in the Trust Funds' governing documents.

A. Revised calculation of damages.

The Trust Funds respectfully seek leave to provide an updated damages calculation to this Court. If granted leave, the Trust Funds submit the outline of current damages immediately below.

As the Court found, Defendants are delinquent in paying \$972,795.81 in employee benefit contributions as detailed in the revised audit. The revised audit also states that Defendants owe \$327,118 in interest, but only calculates interest through June 30, 2023. See ECF No. 45, at Ex. 35. Additional interest has accrued through the date of the instant filing. Given that the Trust Funds' Collection Policy and Procedures provide an interest rate of 14% (see id. at Ex. 40 at § III(B)), the daily amount of interest accruing is \$373.13. Since the revised audit, 277 days have passed, making the additional interest \$103,356 (rounded to the nearest dollar). The updated amount of interest is therefore \$430,474, as of April 2, 2024.²

Similarly, the liquidated damages have also increased. Liquidated damages are either 20% of the delinquent contributions or the amount of the interest, whichever is higher. See 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2)(C). Here, 20% of the delinquent contributions is \$194,479, which is less than the

 $^{^{1}}$ \$972,795.81 * .14 / 365 days = \$373.13

 $^{^{2}}$ \$103,356 + \$327,118 = \$430,474

4

1

56

7

8

9 10 11

13 14

15

12

1617

1819

21 22

20

23

24

2526

27

28

amount of interest. Liquidated damages have also increased to \$430,474.

Taking these amounts, and adding the \$7,810 awarded in audit fees, the Trust Funds respectfully request that the Court utilize the updated amount of damages and find that \$1,841,553.81³ is owed to the Trust Funds by Defendants in its order granting judgment.

B. Post-judgment interest should be set at the rate in the Collection Policy, which is 14%.

The post-judgment interest rate in the judgment should be set at 14%, as dictated by the Trust Funds' governing documents. While a default post-judgment interest rate is provided in 28 U.S.C. § 1961, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has held that "[a]n exception to § 1961 exists when the parties contractually agree to waive its application." Fidelity. Fed. Bank, FSB v. Durga Ma Corp., 387 F.3d 1021, 1023 (9th Cir. 2004). In ERISA actions seeking delinquent employee benefit contributions, multiple district courts in the Ninth Circuit have found that the interest rate listed in the benefit plan's governing documents should be the post-judgment interest rate. See Trustees of the S. California IBEW-NECA Pension Plan v. Arce, No. 2:22-CV-00748-CAS-KSX, 2022 WL 1620200, at *6 (C.D. Cal. May 23, 2022) ("pursuant to 29 U.S.C.A. § 1132(g)(2), the Court finds that post-judgment interest is appropriate, at the same rate [as the plan's governing documents]."); Bd. of Trustees of Laborers Health & Welfare Tr. Fund for N. Cal. v. Shade Const. & Eng'g, No. C 06-6830 PJH, 2007 WL 3071003, at *10 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 19, 2007) ("Until paid (whether pre-judgment or post-judgment), Plaintiffs are entitled to a rate of 1.5% per month for the unpaid contributions (rather than the rate provided by 28 U.S.C. § 1961)."); Moreno v. Casrik, Inc., No. C 05-1250 MJJ (JL), 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 33346, at *18 (N.D.Cal. May 25, 2006) (report and recommendation) (using the plan document interest rate in an ERISA contributions collections case).

The Seventh Circuit of Appeals has also addressed this issue. *Cent. States, Se. & Sw. Areas Pension Fund v. Bomar Nat., Inc.*, 253 F.3d 1011, 1019 (7th Cir. 2001). In *Bomar*, a party "contends that this award was in error because 28 U.S.C. § 1961, not the pension trust agreement, should govern the calculation of post-judgment interest." The Seventh Circuit found, however,

 $^{^{3}}$ \$972,795.81 + \$430,474 + \$430,474 + \$7,810 = \$1,841,553.81

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

| that | the | Defend | ant h | nad a | agreed | to | the | plan's | trust | agreement | , that | the | interest | rate | in | the |
|--|-----|---------|-------|--------|---------|-----|------|---------|---------|------------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|----|-----|
| plan | doc | ument v | vas a | applio | cable a | and | note | ed that | "[i]t i | s well est | ablishe | ed th | at partie | s can | ag | ree |
| to an interest rate other than the standard one contained in 28 U.S.C. § 1961." <i>Id.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

As such, the proposed judgment attached to this filing provides that post-judgment interest will accrue at 14%.

C. Proposed judgment.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58(d) and as directed by the Court in its Order, the Trust Funds request entry of judgment in this matter in accordance with the Court's Order and the updated calculation of interest and liquidated damages provided above. A proposed order granting judgment this request for judgment is attached at Exhibit 1.

Dated: April 2, 2024 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP

/s/ Christopher M. Humes

Christopher M. Humes, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 12782 William D. Nobriga, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14931 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106-4614

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE |
|----|---|
| 2 | Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of |
| 3 | Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP and that on April 2, 2024, I served a true copy of the |
| 4 | foregoing MOTION TO SEEK LEAVE TO PROVIDE REVISED CALCULATION OF |
| 5 | |
| 6 | DAMAGES AND REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT via the Court's CM/ECF |
| 7 | System upon: |
| 8 | Lawrence J. Semenza, III, Esq. |
| 9 | Jarrod L. Rickard, Esq. Katie L. Cannata, Esq. |
| 10 | SEMENZA KIRCHER RICKARD |
| 11 | 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 |
| 12 | Telephone: (702) 835-6803 |
| 13 | Pro Hac Vice |
| 14 | Michael J. Underwood, Esq. Greg M. Daughterty, Esq. |
| | PORTER WRIGHT MORRIS & ARTHUR LLP |
| 15 | 41 S. High Street, Suite 3100 |
| 16 | Columbus, Ohio 43215 Telephone: (614) 227-2274 |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Attorneys for Defendants |
| 19 | |
| 20 | I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. |
| 21 | /s/ Ebony Davis |
| 22 | An Employee of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP |

| 1 2 3 4 5 | Christopher M. Humes Esq., Nevada Bar No. 12 William D. Nobriga, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 149 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614 Telephone: 702.382.2101 Facsimile: 702.382.8135 Email: chumes@bhfs.com | 31 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 6 | Email: wnobriga@bhfs.com | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND | CASE NO.: 2:22-cv-00565-JCM-NJK | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | LABORERS HEALTH AND WELFARE | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND | INDEX OF EXHIBITS TO MOTION TO | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | LABORERS JOINT PENSION TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE | SEEK LEAVE TO PROVIDE REVISED CALCULATION OF DAMAGES | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND LABORERS VACATION TRUST; and THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE | AND | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | SOUTHERN NEVADA LABORERS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | LOCAL 872 TRAINING TRUST, | REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Plaintiffs, | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | V. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SENTINEL MAINTENANCE OF LAS VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | company, SMI, LLC, a Nevada limited | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | liability company | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Defendants. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | 1. Exhibit 1 – Proposed Order | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

EXHIBIT 1 PROPOSED ORDER

| 1 | Christopher M. Humes Esq., Nevada Bar No. 12 | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|
| 2 | William D. Nobriga, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 149: BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK | |
| 3 | 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614 | , |
| 4 | Telephone: 702.382.2101 | |
| 5 | Facsimile: 702.382.8135 Email: chumes@bhfs.com | |
| 6 | Email: wnobriga@bhfs.com | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES | DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | | OF NEVADA |
| 10 | DISTRICT | OF NEVADA |
| 11 | BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE | CASE NO.: 2:22-cv |
| 12 | CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND LABORERS HEALTH AND WELFARE | |
| 13 | TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND | ORD |
| 14 | LABORERS JOINT PENSION TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE | ENTRY OF JUDGN |
| 15 | CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND LABORERS VACATION TRUST; and THE | |
| 16 | BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE SOUTHERN NEVADA LABORERS | |
| 17 | LOCAL 872 TRAINING TRUST, | |
| 18 | Plaintiffs, | |
| 19 | V. | |
| 20 | SENTINEL MAINTENANCE OF LAS VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability | |
| | company, SMI, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company | |
| 21 22 | Defendants. | |
| | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | On March 27, 2024, this Court issued | an order granting the |

ORDER GRANTING ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

CASE NO.: 2:22-cv-00565-JCM-NJK

ed an order granting the Plaintiffs', the Boards of Trustees of the Construction Industry and Laborers Health and Welfare Trust, the Construction Industry and Laborers Joint Pension Trust, the Construction Industry and Laborers Vacation Trust, and the Southern Nevada Laborers Local 872 Training Trust (collectively, the "Trust

25

26

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

| Funds"), motion for summary judgment and denying the Defendants', SMI, LLC and Sentinel |
|---|
| Maintenance of Las Vegas, LLC, Motion for Summary Judgment. ECF No. 69. In that order, this |
| Court found that the Defendants were liable to the Trust Funds for delinquent employee benefit |
| contributions, interest, liquidated damages and audit fees. The bases for the Defendants' liability |
| to the Trust Funds, as outlined in the Order, are that (1) Sentinel Maintenance of Las Vegas, LLC |
| assumed a collective bargaining agreement ("CBA") that requires contributions be made to the |
| Trust Funds; (2) Defendants satisfied the elements required to find an alter ego relationship |
| between the two and therefore both Defendants are bound by the provisions of the |
| aforementioned CBA; and (3) Defendants satisfied the elements required to find that the two |
| Defendants are a single employer, also making them both bound by the CBA. |
| |

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, based on the evidence provided to the Court in the Trust Funds Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 45) and their Revised Calculation of Damages (ECF No. 70), that the clerk of court enter judgment in favor of Trust Funds and against SMI, LLC, and Sentinel Maintenance of Las Vegas, LLC, jointly and severally, for the following amounts:

Contributions: \$972,795.81

Interest: \$430,474

Liquidated Damages: \$430,474

19 \$7,810 Audit Fees:

20 **TOTAL:** \$1,841,553.81

> IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that post-judgment interest shall accrue on the delinquent employee benefit contributions at the rate of 14%.

23

///

///

21

22

24

25

26

27

Case 2:22-cv-00565-JCM-NJK Document 79 Filed 04/26/24 Page 10 of 10

| | IT | IS | FU | RTH | ER | OR | DE | RED | that | the | Trust | Funds | may | bring | a | motio | n to | re | ecover |
|--------|------|-------|------|-------|--------|-----|------|------|------|------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------|---------|------|-----|--------|
| attorn | ey's | fees | s an | d cos | sts in | aco | cord | ance | with | 29 U | J.S.C. § | 3 1132(| g)(2)(1 | D) and | l th | e appli | cabl | e F | ederal |
| Rules | of C | Civil | Pro | cedu | re. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

DATED April 26, 2024.

JAMES C. MAHAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Xellus C. Mahan